

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,357	03/26/2004	Kristen W. Messina	MAEE 2 00065	1251
27885	7590 06/28/2005		EXAMINER	
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & MCKEE, LLP 1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH FLOOR		SELLS, JAMES D		
CLEVELAND, OH 44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1734	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer	10/810,357	MESSINA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James Sells	1734	-
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ag</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal ma	• •	
Disposition of Claims		,	
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 10-16 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,5-9 and 17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-4 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·	
<u> </u>	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign     a) All b) Some * c) None of:     1. Certified copies of the priority documents     2. Certified copies of the priority documents     3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau     * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Amarka-2-4/2)			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/810,357

Art Unit: 1734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 5 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casaldi et al (US Patent 6,779,578) in view of Murooka et al (US Patent 6,467,884).

Casaldi discloses a master processing apparatus or laminator. As shown in Figs. 1-10, the laminator comprises frame 24, master processing assembly 28 with upper and lower pressure applying portions 64 and 68, feed tray 96 and discharge structure or tray 100. Trays 96 and 100 are pivotally connected to the housing 24 and are movable from an operative lowered position to an inoperative raised position in the manner claimed by the applicant (see col. 7, lines 16-60).

However, Casaldi does not disclose the pivoting outlet tray in the operative position being positioned to receive the laminated item from the outlet slot in the manner claimed by the applicant. Regarding this difference, the applicant is directed to the reference of Murooka et al.

Murooka discloses a printer device. As shown in Fig. 1, sheet materials are fed from supply tray M3022, through the printing device M1000 to discharge tray M1004. At col. 9, lines 63-68, Murooka discloses that discharge tray M1004 is pivotally at one end

by the lower case M1001 to rotate to open or close the opening, while the printer is operating. This allows printed sheets to be discharged and accumulated on the tray one by one.

Such a pivoting discharge tray inherently provides a convenient location to collect the finished sheet materials when in the open position, while occupying less space and closing the device from dust and dirt when in the closed position. For these reasons, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ a pivoting discharge tray, as taught by Murooka, in the apparatus of Casaldi

Regarding claim 5, Fig. 4 of Casaldi shows the laminator with the housing sloping inwardly. In addition, trays 96 and 100 are in the raised position and are angled toward each other at distal ends in the manner claimed by the applicant.

3. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casaldi et al in view of Murooka et al as described above in paragraph 2 in further view of Kerr et al (US Patent 6,640,866).

Kerr discloses a laminator assembly. As shown in Fig. 4, the laminator comprises first and second lamination rollers 120 and 130, heated by heating elements 180 and 190. These heated lamination rollers 120 and 130 apply heat and pressure to laminate materials together.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ heated pressure rollers, as taught by Kerr, in the laminator of Casaldi in view of Murooka as described above in order to facilitate laminating of the materials.

Regarding claim 8, it is the examiner's position that indicator lights and diffusers are well known and conventional in the art and would have been obvious to employ in the system of Casaldi in view of Kerr described above for safety reasons.

Regarding claim 9, it is the examiner's position that cord wraps are well known and conventional in the art and would have been obvious to employ in the system of Casaldi in view of Kerr described above to facilitate storage of the laminator when not in use.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 10-16 are allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 10, the prior art does not teach or make obvious the concept of inlet and outlet trays mounted to the housing and movable between a horizontal operative position and a storage position, at least one of the trays defining a handle by which the laminator can be transported when the trays are in the storage position in the manner claimed by the applicant.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,357

Art Unit: 1734

Regarding claim 15, the prior art does not teach or make obvious the concept of raising the trays to a storage position, in which handles of the trays are located above the laminator housing for grasping by a user in the manner claimed by the applicant.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 5-9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Telephone/Fax

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (571) 272-1237. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:30 AM and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached at (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,357 Page 6

Art Unit: 1734

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

JAMES SELLS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECH. CENTER 1700